

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,385	01/06/2004	Hashem M. Ebrahimi	1565.066US1	6809	
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 08/05/20 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			LE, CANH		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2439			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2000	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com request@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/752,385		EBRAHIMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CANH LE	2439	

	CANH LE	2439					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIT MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	ann are arresponde decreaments.	51 Tt -1 11.07 (u).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imely filed amendmer	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the new or amended claims. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6,8,10 and 12-21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please, See continutation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The amended limitations in claims [1, 8, 16, "insecure transaction having references", "a particular reference that has been determine to have been tampered with"; "based on the inspection for taking processing actions including one or more of the following", "that have a World-Wide Web (WWW) cookie associated with their headers", "as particular references having metadata that are associated with World-Wide Web cookies"] would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or thorough search.